



PROGRAMME
DE RECHERCHE
NUMÉRIQUE
POUR L'EXASCALE

ExaDoST - Work Package 2

Breakout session with SKA

Session Leaders: Yushan Wang / Damien Gratadour

Notes

Presentation of the WP2 tools (PDI, Damaris, Deisa) available here:

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1W9PPc45JO1qgU_75BhY6fdn9C-dM-ZCd/edit?usp=sharing&ouid=107253586576142123901&rtpof=true&sd=true

Question: which SKA scenarios could leverage the tools developed in WP2?

- In situ monitoring of the imaging pipeline
 - Compute assessment metrics to assess image quality at every iteration of the major & minor cycles
 - today you need to visualize to estimate if you need to continue to iterate or to stop or rely on an oversized fixed number of iterations
 - finding the correct parameters for running the pipeline is complex: today astronomers rely on trial & error with pipelines diverging rather often
 - In terms of execution & scheduling: optimise resource usage (eg. determine optimal nb nodes/cores/workers for the next iterations)
- Checkpointing
 - handle errors and node failures
 - save intermediate results to suspend execution (free computing resources)
- Later
 - extension to the self-calibration pipeline (adds an external loop on the quality of the calibration solutions) & simulation
 - extend resource usage to the management of a large number of pipelines operating simultaneously and accessing the same HW resources and share data

Integration of ExaDoST solutions with SKA demonstrator codebases - reminder of the conclusions of Deliverable D7

Damaris (WP2): could be used to optimize performance of the imaging pipeline (DDFacet / ddf-pipeline) and in particular streamline the asynchronous access patterns already implemented in the multi-node version. Integration with the OSKAR simulator could also be considered.

DEISA (WP2): could be used to monitor progress in iterative processes in calibration (Quartical), imaging (DDFacet) and maybe simulation as well (OSKAR) so as to offer an optimized solution for both in-situ analysis of intermediate results and checkpointing strategies.

PDI (WP2): could serve as the main integration instrument of the above mentioned solutions within the various components of the radio-interferometry pipeline. This still a hot topic at the time of writing this document.

DEISA + SKA ?

- Main goal: enable Quality Assessment of intermediate results during iterative imaging process:
 - Calculate quality assessment metrics (e.g. SNR, need to develop a catalogue of metrics)
 - Visualise intermediate results (image and residuals) typical size: 20k x 20k (x frequency channels)
 - Report to telescope operator & automate part of the decision-making: continue iterate or stop
 - Trigger data storage (“checkpointing” style, i.e. including workflow provenance)
- Concept proofing with a “simple” app: the prototype developed @ OCA with existing MPI port (has the same overall structure as other imaging pipelines)
- Could be generalized over the longer term to more complex workflows (DDF)

Damaris + SKA ?

- Need to define a more precise roadmap but in this case, complexity is the key aspect we want to tackle
- Difficult to come up with a mini-app / proxy app that will expose (in a representative way) the asynchronous access patterns we'd like to tackle
- **Proposal 1**: asynchronously execute multiple instances of the imaging pipeline (but does not include all the I/O refinements of DDF)
- **Proposal 2**: work on a subset of the DDF code for now (e.g. the KillMS calibration step could be a good candidate, with asynchronous read / write and compute)
- **Proposal 3**: work on simulator tool (OSKAR) to enable full pipelining and enable realistic observational scenarios (across frequency bands and time chunks)
- Need to consolidate this plan and start prototyping (team @ LAB could be available for this with help from CNRS / Obs. Paris)

PDI + SKA ?

- 2 possible directions:
 - “classical” approach: use PDI to abstract usage of DEISA & Damaris in 2 previous scenarios
 - concept proofing for transparent adaptation to new standard in radio-astronomy (MSv2 -> MSv4)
 - important to improve resource usage related to I/O contention within and between pipelines

Still preliminary (as of EoD 5/11/2025)

- Offline discussions with WP1 & 3 ongoing
- Need to refine the strategy to have a consistent approach (especially with work done in connection with WP1)