

GyselaX++: Exascale Challenges for tokamak plasma turbulence simulations

Virginie Grandgirard

Workshop Efficient discretisation for PDE@Exascale (2023/11/08)



First principle simulations required for ITER

 \rightarrow Gyrokinetic plasma turbulence simulations



ITER project



GYSELA simulation

- To optimize performance and minimize risks, each ITER scenario will have to be numerically validated.
- A complete chain of numerical tools will be required, ranging from scale models, which can be used in real time, to first-principles simulations, which are more costly but more reliable.
- Turbulent transport mainly governs confinement in Tokamaks
- Tokamak plasmas weakly collisional \rightarrow Kinetic approach mandatory
 - Fusion plasma turbulence is low frequency \rightarrow fast gyro-motion is averaged out
 - Gyrokinetic approach: phase space reduction from 6D to 5D

GYSELA: a highly parallelised code running at petascale

- Gyrokinetic codes require state-of-the-art HPC techniques and must run efficiently on several thousand processors
 - Non-linear 5D simulations (3D in space + 2D in velocity)
 + multi-scale problem in space and time
- GYSELA (GYrokinetic SEmi-LAgrangian) developed at IRFM/CEA for 20 years
 - Unique gyrokinetic code based on a semi-Lagrangian scheme modelling both core & edge plasmas
 - Fortran 90 + few C modules with hybrid MPI/OpenMP parallelisation
- Intensive use of petascale resources: ~ 150 Mhours / year
 - (GENCI + PRACE + HPC Fusion resources)
- **Exascale needs for ITER plasma turbulence** simulation with electromagnetic effects



GYSELA – 5D Boltzmann equations





Time-splitting for Boltzmann equation

A time-splitting of Strang is applied to the 5D non-linear Boltzmann equation:

$$B_{\parallel s}^* \frac{\partial \bar{F}_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{G}}}{dt} B_{\parallel s}^* \bar{F}_s\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\mathsf{G}\parallel}} \left(\frac{dv_{\mathsf{G}\parallel}}{dt} B_{\parallel s}^* \bar{F}_s\right) = C(\bar{F}_s) + S$$

Let us define three advection operators (with
$$X_G = (r, \theta)$$
)
$$B_{\parallel s}^* \frac{\partial \bar{F}_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(B_{\parallel s}^* \frac{d X_G}{d t} \bar{F}_s \right) = 0 \qquad : (\tilde{X}_G)$$

$$B_{\parallel s}^* \frac{\partial \bar{F}_s}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left(B_{\parallel s}^* \frac{d \varphi}{d t} \bar{F}_s \right) = 0 \qquad : (\tilde{\varphi})$$

$$B_{\parallel s}^* \frac{\partial \bar{F}_s}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{G\parallel}} \left(B_{\parallel s}^* \frac{d v_{G\parallel}}{d t} \bar{F}_s \right) = 0 \qquad : (v_{G\parallel})$$
And the collision operator (\tilde{C}) on a $\Delta t : \partial_t \bar{F}_s = C(\bar{F}_s)$
And the source operator (\tilde{S}) on a $\Delta t : \partial_t \bar{F}_s = S$
Then, a Boltzmann solving sequence (\tilde{B}) is performed:

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) \equiv \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}{2}, \frac{\tilde{C}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{V}_{G||}}}{2}, \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{2}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}_{G}}, \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{2}, \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{V}_{G||}}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\tilde{C}}{2}, \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}{2}\right)$$

Virginie Grandgirard – 2023/11/0

Cez

Example of Backward Semi-Lagrangian (BSL) approach for 2D advection operators

We consider the advection equation: $B_{\parallel s}^* \frac{\partial \bar{F}_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(B_{\parallel s}^* \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}_G}{\partial t} \bar{F}_s \right) = 0$ (with $\mathcal{X}_G = (r, \theta)$)

The Backward Semi-Lagrangian scheme: (mix between PIC and Eulerian approach)

- Fixed grid on phase-space (Eulerian character)
- Method of characteristics : ODE → origin of characteristics (PIC character)



f is conserved along the characteristics, i.e $f^{n+1}(\mathbf{x}_i) = f^n(X(t_n; \mathbf{x}_i, t_{n+1}))$

- Interpolate on the origin using known values of previous step at mesh points (initial distribution f⁰ known).
 - Cubic spline interpolation: good compromise between accuracy and complexity.

GYSELA – MPI parallelization

 \rightarrow MPI communicator in species + MPI communicator in μ + domain decomposition in 2D



Figure 3.1: MPL_COMM_WORLD communicator decomposition for two species, 8 values of μ , $p_r = 4$ radial sub-domains and $p_{\theta} = 2$ sub-domains in the poloidal direction. In this case, the number of MPI processes is equal to 128.



GYSELA – MPI parallelization

 \rightarrow global operators that need huge data transposition = Huge MPI communications

- Several huge **data transposition** to keep all information needed to apply global operator
 - BSL = advection eq.+ Splines interpolation

$$F_{s}(r = block, \theta = block, \varphi = *, v_{G||} = *, \mu = \mu_{id}) \qquad \stackrel{T_{vlasov}}{\leftarrow} F_{s}(r = *, \theta = *, \varphi = block, v_{G||} = block, \mu = \mu_{id})$$

$$Multi-species collision operator$$

 $\begin{array}{l}F_{s}(r=block,\theta=block,\varphi=*,v_{G||}=*,\mu=\mu_{id})\\F_{s1}(r=block,\theta=block,\varphi=*,v_{G||}=*,\mu=\mu_{id})\\F_{s2}(r=block,\theta=block,\varphi=*,v_{G||}=*,\mu=\mu_{id})\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l}T_{collisions}\\\leftarrow\\T_{collisions}\end{array}$

GYSELA optimized up to 700k CPU cores

Weak scaling up to 5696 nodes (729 088 cores) on CEA-HF : BullSequana XH2000, AMD EPYC 7763 64C
 2.45GHz, Atos BXI V2, 810 240 cores (= 6330 nodes)



Relative efficiency of 85% on more than 500k cores and 63% on 729 088 cores

Two main bottlenecks for exascale simulations

 \rightarrow 1. QN solver due to huge MPI reduction

3D Poisson solver (2D+1D) : 2D (r, θ) spline finite elements for each ϕ

$$\frac{e}{T_{e,eq}}\left(\phi - \left\langle\phi\right\rangle\right) - \frac{1}{n_{e_0}}\sum_{s} Z_s \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\frac{n_{s,eq}}{B\Omega_s} \nabla_{\perp}\phi\right) = \frac{1}{n_{e_0}}\sum_{s} Z_s \int J_0 \cdot \left(\bar{F}_s - \bar{F}_{s,eq}\right) d^3 v$$

• Most of the computation time is spent for computing the rhs: $\frac{1}{n_{e_0}} \sum_{s} Z_s \int J_0 \cdot (\bar{F}_s - \bar{F}_{s,eq}) d^3 v$



Big MPI collective communication (reduction) for integral computation

Two main bottlenecks for exascale simulations

 \rightarrow 2. Diagnostics + restart file writing

cea



■ I/O scalability: ~50% for 3072 nodes and ~38% for 4096 nodes. Crash on 5696 nodes



Work in progress : Optimized Data workflow



- Main idea : Decouple I/O from computing kernels
- Development of in-situ diagnostics framework based on PDI + DEISA + DASK
 - PDI Data Interface for handling I/O (developed at MDLS) https://pdi.julien-bigot.fr/master/
 - DEISA (dask-enabled in situ analytics) library (developed at MDLS+INRIA) [A. Gueroudji et al., HiPC 2021]
 - DASK a flexible library for parallel computing in Python https://docs.dask.org/



Work in progress : In-situ AI diagnostics

ECCE DI Inia

- Main idea : Decouple I/O from computing kernels
- Development of in-situ Al diagnostics to optimize exascale simulations:
 - Automatic anomaly detection \rightarrow Automatic stop of simulation \rightarrow CPU or GPU consumption optimization
 - Automatic rare event detection \rightarrow Optimisation of diagnostic saving \rightarrow Memory storage reduction



Roadmap for GyselaX++ towards exascale → Why do we choose to rewrite GYSELA ?

- Unique code for both CPU (AMD milan or ARM-A64FX) and GPU with OpenMP directives is NOT optimal → extremely difficult to optimize on all architectures.
- Non-equidistant mesh mandatory for core-edge-SOL turbulence simulations

 \rightarrow Modifying splines in GYSELA = rewrite most of the kernels

X-point geometry

→ Development of new semi-Lagrangian scheme required to treat multipatches

Simpler to rewrite main kernels in modern C++ from scratch \rightarrow GyselaX++ code



0.5

-0.5

-1

-1.5

Z (m)

Gysela-X towards exascale → Complete rewriting of the code in modern C++



Conclusions

- The GYSELA code at the era of pre-exascale for ion-scale turbulence simulations for current tokamaks
 - Optimized up to more than 500k cores on standard CPU architecture (ex: AMD milan)
 - Resource needs: more than 150 millions of CPU hours / year
 - Petabytes of data manipulated per simulation with huge reduction to limit the storage to few Terabytes
 - \rightarrow Lot of physics still to be explored with this version of the code for the next five years.
- **GyselaX++ : Rewritting in modern C++**, more modular and scalable on different accelerated architectures
 - More realistic temperature gradients at the edge: Non-equidistant mesh
 - More realistic geometry: X-point
 - Based on DDC library + Kokkos